



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,378	04/20/2004	Santanu Dutta	9301-84	4824
75	90 09/16/2004		EXAMINER	
Mitchell S. Bigel			D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M	
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A. P.O. Box 37428		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Raleigh, NC 27627			2683	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/828,378	DUTTA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen M. D'Agosta	2683				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	is			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1:704(b).							
Status			•				
1)□ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b></b> ·	•				
2a) <u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	n of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicatio	n Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s	5)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) 🛛 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/20/04, 7/1/04</u> .	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	) _			

Application/Control Number: 10/828,378

Art Unit: 2683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Oath/Declaration

The claim to domestic priority is acknowledged under 35 U.S.C. 120 for provisional application 60/470992. Applicant has not complied with the requirements since the oath, declaration or application data sheet does not acknowledge the filing of any said provisional application. A new oath, declaration or application data sheet is required in the body of which the present application should be identified by application number and filing date.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/828,378

Art Unit: 2683

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

<u>Claims 1-34</u> rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-305 of U.S. Patent No. 6,684,057.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims deal with a communications system that reduces interference between a mobile and satellite and/or cellular BTS whereby said mobile unit re-uses the satellite frequency bands to communicate with either the satellite or cellular BTS's. Hence the claims are focused on reducing interference either by handing-off or through the use of an "interference reducer". In each case, the uplink/downlink signals are monitored and appropriate action is taken as dictated by the system.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,378

Art Unit: 2683

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- 1. Mayfield US 6735437
- 2. Schiff et al. US 6233456
- 3. Tawil et al. US 6169878
- 4. Wiedeman US 005303286
- 5. Tawil et al. US 5761605

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. D'Agosta whose telephone number is 703-306-5426. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen D'Agosta

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600